


Technical Report: (9621)015-0447

Page 1 of 10  
February 17, 2021





**BUREAU  
VERITAS**

# TEST REPORT

IMPULSE FASHION VIETNAM GARMENT  
EXPORTING LIMITED COMPANY  
1482 TINH LO 8 STREET, TAN THANH DONG  
VILLAGE, CU CHI DISTRICT, HCM CITY

ATTN : ANA

LAB NO.: (9621)015-0447  
FORM NO.: /  
DATE IN: Jan 15, 2021  
MODIFIED DATE IN: /  
DATE OUT: Feb 17, 2021  
BUYER: /  
NO. OF WORKING DAYS: 22  
PAGE 2 OF 10

**OVERALL RATING**

**PASS**

Vendor:	/	Agent:	/
Fabric Supplier/Mill:	/	Factory/Manufacturer:	/
P.O. No.:	/	Style No.:	PULSE+ MASK – 004
Sample Description:	NON-WOVEN MEDICAL MASK 3 PLY (5S) 1ST PLY: PP 30GSM 2ND PLY: MELT BLOWN 25GSM 3RD PLY: PP 30 GSM		
Color:	BLUE	Country of Destination:	US, EU
Claimed Fabric Weight:	2 <sup>ND</sup> PLY: 25 GSM 1 <sup>ST</sup> & 3 <sup>RD</sup> PLY: 30GSM	Claimed Fabric Count:	/

Product Category	MASK COVER
Test Requested	INDIVIDUAL TESTS PER VENDOR'S REQUEST FOR FURTHER DETAILS, PLEASE REFER TO THE FOLLOWING PAGE(S).
Previous Report No.	/

Submitted Fiber Content	1 <sup>ST</sup> , 3 <sup>RD</sup> PLY: NON WOVEN, PP 2 <sup>ND</sup> PLY : NON-WOVEN, MELT BLOWN
Actual Fiber Content	/
Suggested Fiber Content	/
Submitted Care Instruction(s)	NO WASHING
Client Expected Care Instruction	/
Suggested Care Instruction(s)	/



**BUREAU  
VERITAS**

LAB NO: **(9621)015-0447**

Page 3 of 10

TEST PROPERTY	COMMENTS
DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE	PASS (LEVEL 3)
FLAMMABILITY OF CLOTHING TEXTILES	PASS
BACTERIAL FILTRATION EFFICIENCY (BFE) TEST#	PASS (LEVEL 3)
SPLASH RESISTANCE#	PASS (LEVEL 3, Type IIR)
BARRIER TEST – PARTICLE FILTRATION EFFICIENCY (PFE %) <sup>##</sup>	PASS (LEVEL 3)

**REMARK:**

#: Tests were conducted by BV Sri Lanka Laboratory

##: Tests were conducted by NELSON LABS (A Sotera Health Company)

**BVCPS Contact information for this report:**

**Technical questions:**

Primary Contact: Maggie Vu, Tel: (84-28) 3742 1604~6; Email: [Maggie.vu@bureauveritas.com](mailto:Maggie.vu@bureauveritas.com)

Back-up Contact: Mandy Truong, Tel: (84-28) 3742 1604~6; Email: [Mandy.truong@bureauveritas.com](mailto:Mandy.truong@bureauveritas.com)

**Concerns About Billing and General Inquiries:**

Primary Contact: Claura Phu, Tel: (84-28) 3742 1604~6; Email: [Claura.phu@bureauveritas.com](mailto:Claura.phu@bureauveritas.com)

Back-up Contact: Jane Nguyen, Tel: (84-28) 3742 1604~6; Email: [Jane.nguyen@bureauveritas.com](mailto:Jane.nguyen@bureauveritas.com)

**Hotline for Korean vendors:**

Contact: Thomas Yeum, Tel: (84-28) 3742 1604~6, Ext 542, Email: [Thomas.yeum@bureauveritas.com](mailto:Thomas.yeum@bureauveritas.com)

**BUREAU VERITAS CPS VIETNAM LTD.**

**MANDY TRUONG  
LAB MANAGER - SOFTLINES**



<b><u>TEST PROPERTY</u></b>	<b><u>TEST RESULTS</u></b>	<b><u>REQUIREMENTS</u></b>
-----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

**DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE** (BS EN 14683: 2019 ANNEX C, FLOW RATE 8 L PER MIN)

	(Pa/ cm <sup>2</sup> )		
1.		36.8	Type IIR: < 60 Pa/cm <sup>2</sup>
2.		30.2	
3.		33.4	
4.		30.5	
5.		30.0	
Avg.		32.2	

**FLAMMABILITY OF CLOTHING TEXTILES**(16 CFR 1610)

**SHELL**

FABRIC SURFACE	PLAIN FIBER SURFACE		
DIRECTION TO BE TESTED	FACE LENGTHWISE		
AS RECEIVED		TIME OF FLAME SPREAD	BURNING CODE
	1	/	IBE
	2	/	IBE
	3	/	IBE
	4	/	IBE
	5	/	IBE
	AVG		
CLASSIFICATION	PASS CLASS 1, NORMAL FLAMMABILITY OF COMMERCIAL STANDARD 16 CFR 1610, FORMERLY 191-53 OF UNITED STATES FLAMMABILITY FABRIC ACT. THE TESTING CONDUCTED AS RECEIVED ONLY. GARMENTS "DAMAGED" BY REFURBISHING		CLASS 1

**LINING**

FABRIC SURFACE	PLAIN FIBER SURFACE		
DIRECTION TO BE TESTED	FACE LENGTHWISE		
AS RECEIVED		TIME OF FLAME SPREAD	BURNING CODE
	1	/	IBE
	2	/	IBE
	3	/	IBE
	4	/	IBE
	5	/	IBE
	AVG		
CLASSIFICATION	PASS CLASS 1, NORMAL FLAMMABILITY OF COMMERCIAL STANDARD 16 CFR 1610, FORMERLY 191-53 OF UNITED STATES FLAMMABILITY FABRIC ACT. THE TESTING CONDUCTED AS RECEIVED ONLY. GARMENTS "DAMAGED" BY REFURBISHING		CLASS 1



**BUREAU  
VERITAS**

**TEST RESULTS**

**TEST PROPERTY**

**REQUIREMENTS**

DNI	DID NOT IGNITE.
IBE	IGNITED, BUT EXTINGUISHED.
SF uc	SURFACE FLASH, UNDER THE STOP THREAD, BUT DOES NOT BREAK THE STOP THREAD.
SF pw	SURFACE FLASH, PART WAY. NO TIME SHOWN BECAUSE THE SURFACE FLASH DID NOT REACH THE STOP THREAD.
SF poi	SURFACE FLASH, AT THE POINT OF IMPINGEMENT ONLY. (EQUIVALENT TO "DID NOT IGNITE" FOR PLAIN SURFACES.)
0.0 sec.	ACTUAL BURN TIME MEASURED AND RECORDED BY THE TIMING DEVICE.
0.0 SF only	TIME IN SECONDS, SURFACE FLASH ONLY. NO DAMAGE TO THE BASE FABRIC.
0.0 SFBB	TIME IN SECONDS, SURFACE FLASH BASE BURN STARTING AT PLACES OTHER THAN THE POINT OF IMPINGEMENT AS A RESULT OF SURFACE FLASH.
0.0 SFBB poi	TIME IN SECONDS, SURFACE FLASH BASE BURN STARTING AT THE POINT OF IMPINGEMENT. THIS RESULT DOES NOT QUALIFY AS A BASE BURN UNDER THE CURRENT INTERPRETATION OF PART OF 16 CFR PART 1610.
0.0 SFBB poi*	TIME IN SECONDS, SURFACE FLASH BASE BURN POSSIBLY STARTING AT THE POINT OF IMPINGEMENT. THE ASTERISK (*) IS ACCOMPANIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT: "UNABLE TO MAKE ABSOLUTE DETERMINATION AS TO SOURCE OF BASE BURNS." THIS STATEMENT IS ADDED TO THE RESULT OF ANY SPECIMEN IF THERE IS A QUESTION AS TO ORIGIN OF THE BASE BURN.



**BUREAU  
VERITAS**

**TEST RESULTS**

**\*\*APPENDIX 1: \*\* BACTERIAL FILTRATION EFFICIENCY (BFE)**

Requirement

Level 2≥98

1.1 Reference Standards Item ASTM 2101  
 Test Method used : ASTM F 2101  
 1.2 Environmental conditions: (21 ± 5 °C, RH 85 ± 5 %)  
 1.3 strain, medium and reagent information:  
 Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538)  
 Solid culture media used – Soybean casein digest agar

1.4 Test parameters:

Air flow rate 28.3 L/min  
 Mean particle diameter of bacterial aerosol (3.0 +/- 0.3) µm

Determination Of Bacterial Suspension Concentration									
Plate 1 (CFU)		Plate 2 (CFU)		Dilution level			Concentration (CFU/mL)		
45		47		-4			4.5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>		
Groups		Plate 1	Plate2	Plate3	Plate4	Plate 5	Plate 6	Total	BFE
Negative Control	r	1	0	0	0	0	0		/
	p	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Positive Control 1	r	23	34	41	117	21	8		/
	p	24	36	43	138	22	8	271	
Positive Control 2	r	27	39	47	133	24	9		/
	p	28	41	50	162	25	9	315	
Sample 1	r	1	1	1	4	1	0		99.73
	p	1	1	1	4	1	0	8	
Sample 2	r	1	2	2	7	1	0		99.56
	p	1	2	2	7	1	0	13	
Sample 3	r	2	2	3	10	2	1		99.32
	p	2	2	3	10	2	1	20	
Sample 4	r	2	3	4	11	2	1		99.22
	p	2	3	4	11	2	1	23	
Sample 5	r	1	2	2	9	1	0		99.49
	p	1	2	2	9	1	0	15	
Average of positive control		2930							



**BUREAU  
VERITAS**

**TEST PROPERTY**

**TEST RESULTS**

**REQUIREMENTS**

**APPENDIX 3: SPLASH RESISTANCE**  
**(Type II R)**

3.1 Reference Standards Item : EN 14683-5.2.4  
Test method used : ISO 22609: 2004  
3.2 Environmental conditions : 24 °C , 52%RH

3.3 Test parameters:

Pressure (KPa)	Velocity (cm/s)	Time (s)
16.0	550	0.66

**3.4 Sample After Tested image**

Face side



Back side







**BUREAU  
VERITAS**



Picture 16.0 KPa Sample after tested

3.5 Test Result

The samples were tested under pressure of 16.0KPa, no synthetic blood penetration on the medial side





**TEST RESULTS**

**APPENDIX 3: SPLASH RESISTANCE**

3.1 Reference Standards Item :ASTM F 2100  
Test method used : ASTM F1862  
3.2 Environmental conditions : 24 °C , 52%RH

3.3 Test parameters:

Pressure (mmHg)	Velocity (cm/s)	Time (s)
160	635	0.57

**3.4 Sample After Tested image**



Picture 160mmHg Sample after tested

**3.5 Test Result**

The samples were tested under pressure of 160mmHg, no synthetic blood penetration on the medial side



## TEST RESULTS

### BARRIER TEST – PARTICLE FILTRATION EFFICIENCY (PFE %) (ASTM F2299)

**Summary:** This procedure was performed to evaluate the non-viable particle filtration efficiency (PFE) of the test article. Monodispersed polystyrene latex spheres (PSL) were nebulized (atomized), dried, and passed through the test article. The particles that passed through the test article were enumerated using a laser particle counter.

A one-minute count was performed, with the test article in the system. A one-minute control count was performed, without a test article in the system, before and after each test article. Control counts were performed to determine the average number of particles delivered to the test article. The filtration efficiency was calculated using the number of particles penetrating the test article compared to the average of the control values. During testing and controls, the air flow rate is maintained at 1 cubic foot per minute (CFM)  $\pm$  5%.

The procedure employed the basic particle filtration method described in ASTM F2299, with some exceptions; notably the procedure incorporated a non-neutralized challenge. In real use, particles carry a charge, thus this challenge represents a more natural state. The non-neutralized aerosol is also specified in the FDA guidance document on surgical face masks. All test method acceptance criteria were met. Testing was performed in compliance with US FDA good manufacturing practice (GMP) regulations 21 CFR Parts 210, 211 and 820.

Test Side: Inside  
Area Tested: 91.5 cm<sup>2</sup>  
Particle Size: 0.1  $\mu$ m  
Laboratory Conditions: 21.8°C, 22% relative humidity (RH) at 1625; 22.0°C, 22% RH at 1710  
Average Filtration Efficiency: 99.83%  
Standard Deviation: 0.050

#### Results:

Test Article Number	Test Article Counts	Average Control Counts	Filtration Efficiency (%)
1	31	12,393	99.75
2	17	12,555	99.86
3	16	12,750	99.87
4	25	13,619	99.82
5	21	13,132	99.84

-END OF THE REPORT-